

Putley Neighbourhood Development Plan

Residents' questionnaire survey

Comment listings

DJN Planning Limited

January 2017

For Putley NDP Steering Group

Introduction

This document lists the comments made by respondents to the Putley residents' questionnaire survey, which was undertaken to inform work on the Neighbourhood Development Plan (ND Plan) in November 2016.

The questionnaire included open questions inviting free-write comments on aspects of development and the environment. The comments are set out individually here question by question. Comments are repeated verbatim and place names are as given. Editorial comments are in square brackets. The topics and issues raised in the comments are summarised in the accompanying Results Report.

Completed questionnaires have been numbered to aid analysis, and these numbers are given here. Questionnaires remain anonymous.

Comments have been entered into the various tables comprising the report by members of the Putley NDP Steering Group. The comments have been reviewed and the report completed by Dr. D.J. Nicholson.

January 2017

Q1: Do you have any comments on this draft vision for the future of Putley? This is your chance to tell us.

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
3	I see points 1 and 4 as contradictory. What is required, in my opinion, is a forward looking community ... not a 'land time forget' rural parish!
11	A place that welcomes a broader mix of people more in line with the country as a whole on grounds of race, age and religion
15	No comments.
16	Agreed.
18	Compliments on the questioning and layout of the questionnaire – clear and concise unlike my handwriting! I hope you can read it.
19	I entirely concur with the above with possibly the addition of recognition of the need for some, admittedly, limited employment opportunities for our young people and their input to the future of the village.
22	Only that this exercise is unfortunately pointless. Case law now has established that these Neighbourhood plans are always subordinate to framework plans if there is conflict. The Localism Act was a sop to Clegg and incompetently drafted.
30	I agree with the above statements totally. Well summed up.
31	I agree with all of the above.
33	The vision covers all aspects of maintaining and developing the qualities of Putley Parish.
34	This vision should certainly sustain the tranquil rural community
36	Well stated
37	Support new buildings for holiday lets
42	I agree with it.
43	This concurs most succinctly with my vision for Putley.
44	no comment
47	no
48	no
50	How does this questionnaire reflect farming and business?
51	Would agree, but feel services need to be improved. All OK if you are mobile, have transport, or family, neighbours, friends to help. Neighbour support to all is an invaluable asset, and is something which is particularly strong in Putley.
52	no
63	In order for Putley to meet the first vision above we need more smaller hence affordable homes to buy- there are a high number of social housing (to rent if you qualify) and a high number of expensive large homes – we need house the average wage earner can buy. To meet the final vision we need to improve consideration for landscape and wildlife – steadily but gradually this is being reduced – hedges, orchard, trees etc are quietly disappearing and opportunities via planning are being missed e.g. plant new hedges as compensation for say a new driveway/entrance etc
64	All the above read well, you do need to provide affordable housing, otherwise you will create a retirement/holiday property village.

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
69	I realise this is a 'vision' but there has to be an element of realism within it. Resources are limited and not viable to suggest that Putley can meet 'the needs of all ages for housing and other services'.
70	Just another example of something that not needed.
72	Agree
73	Excellent vision
76	Excellent vision – wholeheartedly support
85	Village shop
87	Very good vision
88	I agree. Do we meet the <u>needs</u> of the younger generation who were born in this village? <u>Planning restrictions</u> and/or houses not within a young family's price range. Planning even on private property <u>not</u> always possible.
90	Who is the "next generation"? – this implies that Putley is one generation to be followed by another. I like the sentiment but I think it needs to be re-worded. .
92	<p>I find the second line something of a platitude – surely this goes without saying. I don't agree with the fourth line. I would not focus on the tranquillity or historic heritage, lovely as it is.</p> <p>To the remaining two lines, I would add something like:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>A vibrant working landscape that can adapt to survive.</i> • <i>A community that</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>respects the interdependency of human life and wildlife</i> - <i>promotes good practice in construction, business and land use to minimise disturbance to wildlife and damage to the environment</i> - <i>supports and promotes the development of local production and local markets</i> - <i>favours small scale operations rather than large; diversity of land use rather than monoculture</i> - <i>prioritises provision of housing and work opportunities for those on low incomes</i> <p><i>fosters flexibility by allowing change of land use where it serves the above.</i></p>
95	Tourism should be allowed to flourish in this parish
97	Fine
101	Think it's excellent
106	See Q 12
111, 112	For a village to survive in the 21 st century, you need enhanced connectivity; in the form of transport and broadband. Good access essential.
113	I enclose a PHOTOGRAPH of a dilapidated Dutch Barn, which was given planning permission without any consultation with Highways or Environmental etc, within 4 weeks of application before last Christmas. This barn has no footings or foundations, and I have strongly opposed it. The planners say they have limited control over it, as it is Government policy (2013 PRIOR APPROVAL). This policy was meant for buildings with limited need of renovation eg DOORS and WINDOWS only. If this goes ahead everyone who owns an old tin shed will be allowed to develop it. This will totally spoil this lovely area.

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
115	I feel that “with some provision of public transport” should be included where appropriate.
116	The farming and wildlife protection I am agreeing with but the local businesses and more houses I disagree with because Putley is a lovely quiet place to hack my pony round but we don’t want it busy and noisy! and too fast cars → accidents.
117	It is a beautiful part of the countryside with quiet country lanes. It should be left as it is.
121	As long as the values of the countryside are followed and the people in the community’s opinions are listened to and taken into account when the Plan is decided there shouldn’t be any problems.
125	It would be nice to think that we could keep all of the above.
126	An excellent vision – spot on!
127	An excellent vision.

Q4: Are there any specific locations you think are suitable for new homes in Putley? If so, where and why?

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
2	Back of Putley Parish Hall. The field here could possibly be used to build houses that are in character with the Parish.
4	Many infills but if did consider a small development around the village hall
5	NO
6	Putley Green between existing housing far less impact on rural environment
11	Scattered throughout the village and Putley Common
12	Close to \ conversion of current residential dwellings
13	Settled around where there are existing higher percentage of houses already
14	Plots of land/ large gardens adjacent to the main roads
15	Close to existing homes, land – non-farming land with good road access or large gardens
16	Putley Green or Putley Common to form local communities
18	Probably near to existing buildings and infrastructure such as sewers, services etc
19	In or close to the existing village centre
22	Within the framework criteria. The field opposite Near Close council houses is the only possible location for new build
25	There are some dilapidated barns also within someone’s own garden land if suitable and not affecting neighbour
26	No
27	Not applicable
28	Near existing cluster of Putley Green or Putley Common but must have ‘green’ credentials
29	Two places at Lazy Acre, Putley Common. One place immediately above [plan attached].
30	Field opposite Near Green Close. Will not have a massive impact on village and keeps all services together.
31	Land opposite Near Green Close as it would keep all the houses together.
34	Where there exists clusters of houses and infill where appropriate land is available. Putley Green and common.
36	No
39	Putley Green, Putley Common
41	The old orchard next to Lazy Acre
43	I have not identified any
45	Within the current Development Main Area around the Village Hall
47	no
48	no
49	Wherever is desired.
50	This needs to be dealt with on a scheme by scheme basis.
51	None – preserve what we have.
52	no

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
56	Possibly in green belt land behind village hall if the landowner consented; and potentially various farm buildings in Putley may become available for change of use to housing in next few years
58	Pool End area because there are clusters of dwellings already there
59	Limited expansion of the Putley Green area seems to be favoured already by H.C.C. This seems logical as this is the area where most of the varied housing needs exists
61	Around the village hall because that will centralise the village
62	Near existing houses where drainage and water is available
63	Adjacent to existing houses so anywhere where houses either individual or clusters exist
65	Around Putley Green where there is already a density of housing
66	Putley Green – behind village hall
69	Putley Green and Common as already existing clusters
70	Putley Green, Putley Common and the odd ‘barn conversion’ in between
73	No opinion
76	In favour of infill
77	By village hall or opposite existing houses as this keeps community together.
81	No
84	Don’t know
85	Near Green Close
87	Near the Parish Hall
88	None known
90	Is there an opportunity to re-configure the layout of the Parish Hall and car park in conjunction with a small cluster of new housing? What is the capacity of the sewage treatment plant?
91	Next to Village Hall. Behind Putley Green.
97	Possibly in the area of Putley Green as this is the nearest to the village centre
101	None I’m aware of
105	No
106	Brownfield sites around Putley Green ie disused farmlands & orchards, disused large gardens & paddocks attached to larger properties. Marginal land around Putley Common including un-managed woodland
107	Disused sites next to houses, old pack houses etc
111, 112	Near existing transport facilities
114	Close to Village Hall.
115	Around the Green and near the hall.
116	In some of the village so its all in one place!
117	No. There would be too much traffic.
121	Field behind village hall.
123	No.
124	No.
125	No.
126	a. perhaps somewhere near to Rose Cottage at the road junction.

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
	b. next to the car park to the village hall.
127	<p>1. South of Putley Green, near the junction of the road to Aylton ... south of Rose Cottage. Landowners have not been approached.</p> <p>2. Immediately west of the Parish Hall car park.</p> <p>Reasons why:</p> <p>1. Follows national and council policy.</p> <p>2. Easy access to services eg electricity etc.</p> <p>3. Minimum impact on sight lines/views/landscape.</p>

Q5: Are there any specific locations where houses should not be built? If so, where, and why?

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
2	Anywhere that floods.
4	Established orchards
5	NO
6	Around Church and surrounding properties - designated area of outstanding natural beauty.
7	In individual 'fields' which would spoil the rural nature of Putley
11	On the lane down to church and around the church
12	Not on green field sites
13	Greenfields
14	Around the church would spoil tranquility
15	Good farming land. Areas of environmental interest or conservation by Putley Church
16	Agricultural land and woodland
17	No new houses
18	Woodland, orchards other agricultural zones
19	Along the narrow winding lane from the Hereford Road to the top of the hill into the village
22	Everywhere else and especially not conservation and listed clusters
25	Avoid green sites/agricultural land that has had no disturbance
26	No
27	Not applicable
28	No. Just in keeping, sustainable. Everywhere has environmental importance so too difficult to say
30	Behind the village hall as the field is used for car parking and social functions. It is the heart of the village.
31	Back of the village hall as it is often used for functions and for parking.
32	Bad drainage areas
33	It would be sad to build houses and spoil the beautiful landscape around us, which we enjoy so much, it would lead to further threat against wildlife
34	In open landscape areas where new build would spoil the view and impact wildlife
36	No
37	Putley Common area
39	Putley church
42	Not replacing orchards – they are a distinctive feature of Putley.
43	The village is in a rural setting where farming and fruit growing abound. Using this land for building would completely change the local character.
45	Ribbon development – extending the roadside impact of the current area should not be permitted.
47	no
48	no
49	no
52	around the outskirts of Putley

Putley NDP · Questionnaire comment listings

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
54	Should not be built on rural land
56	New build should take place on sites where they should not be 'out of place'. i.e. if you would not want to build 20 new homes on a piece of high ground in Putley as this would completely change the environment
58	Anywhere where it would effect the outlook of existing dwellings and houses of beauty
59	All existing woodland should be untouched by housing development to preserve the natural habitat, this would also apply to Putley Common
61	In beauty spots where the outlook could be spoilt
63	Not in an isolated location away from existing houses e.g. in middle of a field
64	Near the Church
65	In isolated locations where views from the Ridge are compromised
66	No more building around Church
73	No opinion
76	Against development in green fields and isolated from village unless conversion of existing buildings.
77	Not in individual plots away from buildings. Putley Common/by the Church – maintain tranquillity.
78	By church
81	On Greenfield sites
84	Areas of unspoilt beauty
85	Green areas
87	On green fields
90	Outside the main village settlement at Putley Green unless in one's and two's meeting very clear conditions/functions
91	Around the Church.
92	The area around Putley Church. Areas on the attached map marked in green, yellow, red or brown [plan shows a variety of habitats as a "Wildlife Friendly Zone" at Mains Wood and land to the west of Putley].
94	Common – a haven for wildlife
96	Not in traditional orchards which are fast disappearing and have particularly high wildlife value and historic significance in this area. Infills can encroach on valuable green spaces between houses.
99	On farming land
100	Land that does not have any impact on farming land or wildlife. Building should not have a negative impact on livelihood and habitats
101	Need to preserve any wildlife conservation areas
105	No
106	1. Productive high-grade farmland ie Ministry grades 3,2,1 2. The flood prone area south of the village where the brook crosses the Kynaston Road
107	Arable land, good grassland and orchards that are in production
111, 112	Green belt areas.
115	On the Common.

Putley NDP · Questionnaire comment listings

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
116	Around stables, yards and bridle path so its quiet for horses!
117	Putley Common as lots of horses around this area, children too.
121	Anywhere that is not going to separate it from the village and make a separate community.
123	No.
124	No.
125	No.
126	It would be best if no new homes were built in the direct 'view' of existing, <u>older</u> ones ... ie "spoiling" their view.
127	Part of the distinctive settlement pattern are older properties that tend to either stand alone or be part of a cluster of no more than 2 or 3 properties. New properties should not be built near older properties because of risk of destroying distinctive features of Putley and building on open countryside.

Q6: Do you have any other comments on housing, including housing need? This is your chance to tell us.

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
1	Good architecture at low housing density will enhance the area.
3	I think spreading the burden of any new occupancy will minimise disturbance to the local community. Conversely, a single development will disadvantage one group.
4	Need to consider the proportion of social and private housing so there is a balance. Consider more agricultural ties for local farm workers.
5	NO
7	New homes suitable for young families are needed.
11	Herefordshire is a county with a high number of older and retired people and Putley is similar and possibly even higher in this. There are plenty of larger homes in the village – many currently occupied by older\retired people who are wealthy enough to continue living in them. This will probably not continue once this generation moves on and retirement incomes drops so the focus needs to be on small affordable properties. I think there is a need for good quality hostel/housing for seasonal workers and infrastructure to support them. There are many dwellings \ holiday lets that have been built around houses in the village over the last 10-15 years: Twerne x 2, The Orchards x 3, Priors Court x pickers houses, Lower Court x 2/3, Mill House x 1, Sheepecote x 1, Hazel Court x various, Putley Common x 1, Old Rectory x 1. Are these included in the plan? Do they reduce the housing required?
13	Houses affordable for those growing up in the village to be able to buy affordably.
14	Affordable housing shouldn't receive special priority over other kinds, but is still important. I am against social housing given previous problems in Putley with them. Its silly to avoid isolated new homes: if a site is available, suitable and non contentious then it shouldn't matter if it's away from existing settlements. Against large developments. Putley has a good mix of individual homes and styles. We should preserve this diversity.
15	Is there any evidence that the additional housing is required in this area? Is there the opportunity for community self build rather than social housing?
18	Any new housing should be of extraordinary design <u>not</u> ultra expensive, just well thought out and original. <u>Not</u> the usual boring boxes and rabbit hutches something truly enlightened! and environmentally sound principles.
19	New housing should not add to the traffic difficulties in terms of volume unless the Putley Lane is improved.
25	We are temporary custodians of the parish and we must protect the area from development that severely impacts what we have been lucky enough to inherit. If some housing is required to be built then so be it but it would be a mistake to focus any development on the needs and requirements of the older teenager demographic since that is what cities are for. I do not believe Putley can ever satisfy their requirements without fundamentally altering the nature of the area. Young families, older families and housing for farming related workers should be the aim.

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
26	New houses are welcome but require sufficient roads, communications and infrastructure to support and should be part of any new development.
27	New housing and adapted housing is needed for the community and workers within the area. Modernisation to roads and facilities offered would be a necessity.
28	As we don't have much of a public transport service the inhabitants will have to be self sufficient with transport. Preferably long-term inhabitants as opposed to holiday lets or weekend/second homes so they become part of the community.
29	Each house built provides accommodation, employment, council tax, use of materials standing idle.
30	Family housing (private) needed to bring fresh blood and skills to the village.
31	Need for private family housing, 3 bedrooms to encourage the village to grow.
33	Putley parish is large enough to take some more housing, it would hopefully bring the opportunity for the development of other services and activities. And we all know that homes are needed.
34	Support Herefordshire's requirement to fulfil housing target – Putley and Putley Common are large enough to reach this relatively small number of new houses. Homes are needed.
36	No.
37	There is a need for holiday homes (rented).
42	At present we have many large houses and a lot of "social housing". We need more in between those extremes.
43	While it may seem a worthy idea to provide social, supported or sheltered accommodation, this is a village with few amenities and the bus service is almost non-existent. Likewise, there is a worthiness to high environmental standards, but aesthetically this can prove very poor. There is already an "eco" house which is a total blot on the landscape, with no screening, so that one cannot escape its ugliness.
49	Housing should be proportionate to need.
50	Not mentioned in Q3 is part buy / part rent houses? Why? This scheme has worked all over the country, particularly youngsters get to part own their own house (tend to look after it better) and brings a younger workforce into the community.
52	No more council houses as I feel they reduce the appeal of Putley as a village.
53	Accessible housing is important to me. Diversity in this village is what brought us here. Infrastructure needs to support new housing – roads, wi-fi, pub, shop, people.
56	The idea of encouraging some starter homes in the area would keep the average age of residents a little lower and this would help to keep village 'alive' – also would be more affordable.
57	Any new "affordable" housing should be as close to main road and bus route as possible – those without cars should be accessible to further amenities/especially re families with teenagers.
59	As Putley has developed through its agricultural background it should be allowed to remain as a primarily farming community and develop naturally through the needs in a slowly changing community

Putley NDP · Questionnaire comment listings

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
63	We do need houses to buy that are smaller and so affordable to the average wage earner, or encourage self build plots, again this could be adjacent to existing house. We do need to allow families to stay close – so allow small annexes (or in garden type bedsits) so older or single young relatives can live independently but close to family and where they may been brought up.
64	Difficult. You could almost bridge Putley and Aylton on the right hand side of the Parish. Traffic from this development would be nearer to the main road.
65	Would prefer additional housing need to be achieved through natural windfall over time. There is enough affordable social housing.
66	No more social housing.
68	Bungalows with two large bedrooms as not everyone is on their own. Reasonable size gardens front and back.
69	I am not convinced that Putley has the facilities to support those in need of sheltered accommodation. Too isolated, no shops etc.
70	Putley has already got its share of social housing.
73	No.
76	Concern about adequacy of bus and local services to support starter homes, sheltered accommodation, and social housing, not against them in principle.
77	Redundant buildings good for development to help retain them. Any newbuild should be 'affordable housing' for Putley family members, not outsiders e.g. from Ledbury.
81	Putley already has a large amount of social housing. Due to poor transport links it is not suitable for any more.
87	Smaller rather than larger. Environmentally friendly.
88	A more relaxed building regulation would make it easier to build on private land. I would not wish to see <u>large groupings</u> of houses for young → old. <u>Transport, schools etc. has to be considered.</u> Large quantity of families would considerably change our lovely village of Putley!! Considerations before building must be: Housing, Transport, Work, Schools, Community services, "Changing the character of our village".
89	With relatively easy access to railway/motorway networks, Putley is typically a commuter village site.
90	I think there is scope for some additional interesting housing units which provide opportunities for younger active families who can bring economic opportunities to the Parish.
91	Communications are so poor and roads so narrow only people with own cars can live here unless agricultural workers.
92	Development of appropriate housing or smallholdings should be permitted outside/away from existing clusters of buildings. ALSO [extracted from response to Q19 and repeated here]: Housing: This approach favours modest size, low costs, energy efficient housing and live/work units, aimed at those on low incomes. To keep these within the low income sector, we need houses with two or three bedrooms with the kind of internal size restrictions defined in section 4.8.26 of the Draft Core Strategy (for non MFVs), and subject to restriction on enlargement and removal of permitted

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
	development rights. However, as far as possible they should have good sized gardens to allow people to grow their own food and have outdoor space for workshops etc. Gardens are important for children's health. They also encourage biodiversity more than most kinds of farming. For this reason spacious development is preferable to infill.
96	While housing for old people is worthy, we lack the services in this rural setting for less able/mobile older people and it is difficult to envisage how this needs for housing could be accommodated.
97	The parish is not suitable for significant new housing development. Homes with workshops would depend on the activity and should avoid any noisy activity – hence no blanket approval.
100	Should be affordable for those wanting to get onto the property ladder or cheaper rental properties, conversions of abandoned buildings. Ideally buildings should have little impact the environment with preference to Eco builds.
101	Affordable (for first time buyers) and social housing probably top priority. New homes also need to be accessible and adaptable to allow disabled and older people to stay in their homes as their needs change.
105	No.
106	There is a suitable area for a small development on the Putley Green Fruit Farm which includes a small derelict orchard and disused farmyard. Such a limited group of houses could include one or two blocks or 2 bed semis as starter homes. This area was the subject of a planning application within the last 10 years but was opposed by misguided neighbours.
107	There could be a need for smaller houses with workshops/storage for local people who wish to work in the parish.
111, 112	No point building houses for people who need transport, access to schools, medical facilities and retail.
115	New housing must be low energy efficient and include space for workshops and encourage home/work – Castle Orchard just in Aylton is a fine example.
116	I don't want any of this I like it quiet!
118	More housing – means more cars, therefore should consider effect on traffic build up. Lack of public transport, access to shops, drs, etc.
119	Keep Putley a place for wildlife, nice views and the countryside. Not filling it up with housing estates!
121	No.
123	I would like to see new houses but the extra cars on the roads would bother me.
124	I don't mind some new housing but it would mean more traffic through the village.
125	I don't mind new developments but would worry about quality of the roads.
126	a. we very much need smaller, starter, homes – so that young people can live in their own homes in Putley. b. we also need housing for older people, that is not social housing. At the moment, our older people are all moving to smaller homes in Ledbury.

Q8: Do you have any other comments on traffic, transport and access? This is your chance to tell us.

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
3	I have to say that I disagree with the questions. Clearly road safety is important <u>but</u> current rules/laws/measures are sufficient to protect all users.
4	Increase in public transport not necessarily to actual village but to service other junctions that meet the main road ie Poolend, Preston Cross, Roman Road.
5	NO.
6	The roads in Putley and the neighbouring parishes are in an appalling state of repair. Most parts of the so called 'undeveloped' countries have better roads than Putley and Herefordshire in general.
7	New houses need at least 3 off road car spaces. No buses so 2 cars minimum for working couple and space for visitor.
11	Putley has lanes that are safe. Any signs to reduce speed would not be effective and may encourage speeding once outside the speed limits. Too much signage and traffic calming would suburbanise the village. Walking and cycling for leisure and transport should be encouraged. I am not aware of any road casualties actually in the village in 2/3 years of being here. Sadly there have been several on surrounding main roads.
13	Limit larger lorries around the lanes.
15	Passing places could be better maintained.
16	Dangerous junction on road between Aylton Court and Aylton – hedge to be lowered for vision at junction.
18	Most roads in the area are of single track – therefore roads should be well maintained with better layby provision. Hedges and verges better clipped with corners in particular kept low. Some local drivers are complacent about speed. Racing through, barely slowing for on-coming traffic. Warnings?
19	Whilst most road users take care and behave considerately, there is a vital need for 'traffic calming' at frequent intervals.
22	Putley access roads cannot accommodate further volume – hence no extra new buildings. HGV's should be banned from Putley Green access – risk to children?
24	Bus services have been both reduced and re-timed at awkward hours such that those who use the buses cannot return in time for lunch. Bus services should be restored and their use actively encouraged. NB. The timetable on the village green is years out of date and completely wrong.
25	Would prefer wooden finger post type road signs rather than Hi-viz metal reflecting signs within parish. Metal ok nearer to main roads.
27	Ensuring businesses can flourish by supporting changes in access is a necessity.
28	Keep road signage to a minimum. Encourage landowners to assist drainage where possible – ditches etc. (between stables and Putley Green is particularly bad). Discourage council from adding <u>more</u> tarmac to the side roads to fill holes – encourages cars to just drive faster.
30	Need to improve maintenance, especially pot holes etc.
31	Road maintenance- ditches need improving.

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
33	The road through Putley common is used as a cut through to the main road, as I am aware in other parts of the Parish. Traffic calming ideas would be worth consideration.
34	Traffic calming could help through Putley Common and Putley Green.
36	No
38	Make passing places for larger traffic to pass where possible.
42	It would be good to discourage large lorries from using our narrow lanes.
43	The lanes in this village are narrow and unsuitable for heavy traffic and large lorries. There are many kinds of road user, including riders and walkers with dogs. Too many people speed along with little thought of what may be around the next corner.
45	Some traffic calming must be encouraged – as well as a reduction in speed limit on the narrow roads around the village. Far too many people drive at a speed which assumes no one is around the corner. With the amount of traffic (including horse riders and dog walkers) – this is an accident waiting to happen.
46	Traffic driving too fast along the lanes and around village, there should be some sort of traffic calming.
48	No.
50	Since Roman times there has been need for transportation of goods from Putley – so it needs to go on as it always has to bring prosperity and work to the village. *(NB Roman villas etc).
51	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Speed calming in the village is a must. – Horse riders educated not to use mobiles or ride in the dark without appropriate lighting/hi-vis apparel. – Parking/stopping at the stables needs an improvement. – Road maintenance – that’s a countrywide issue!
52	Bridleways need to be better maintained in order for riders to be able to avoid roads.
53	Access to public transport is crucial.
54	Traffic signs to slow traffic down at Putley riding school.
56	Although some find traffic including lorries, tractors and implements irritating, they are the lifeblood of a thriving community, so good road links and maintenance is very important.
57	Max 20 MPH on road past riding school.
58	Some people travel much too fast on these lanes and this includes residents who should know better.
59	The farming businesses are having to use larger vehicles for the transport of goods into and out of the area, dictated by the couriers it would be sensible to provide hard passing places in more places on access lanes wherever possible.
63	Speed limits needed on all rural lanes, not just centre of village – too many near misses, including pedestrians (with pushchair), bikes, horses+vehicle Hedges and verges – yes trim on sightlines but NOT where it does not affect visibility – too many bits get cut hard back where not needed – waste of money and wildlife habitat.
64	There is potential to make routes one way only?

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
65	Styles on footpaths should be adapted to allow dog access.
66	Too many horses and horse vehicles on roads.
68	More transport required to Hereford and Ledbury as people unable to walk to main road for buses.
73	No.
74	Hedges can be very high, lowering visibility for walkers/cyclists.
76	More important to maintain existing provision for walkers, riders and cyclists than debate new 'facilities' which are not defined so I am not sure what is intended or how realistic such proposals would be.
77	More signs for approach to 'Pony Club' to slow traffic down. Need to keep local bus service for anyone without a car.
84	Traffic going too fast.
88	Our country roads not suitable for large vehicles. Small business <u>or</u> housing development may mean vans, lorries and a general increase in cars. We need to think carefully – can mean up to 4 cars per household.
89	Lack of common sense of pedestrians – adults and children. They have no knowledge of the Highway Code. Improving road surfaces, providing footpaths/walkways will only increase road speed.
90	It is essential for farm traffic and traffic associated with farm diversification to be accommodated. This is part of Putley being a working parish.
91	See Q6.
96	Hedges clearly need to be maintained in the interests of road safety at junctions etc. where sight lines can be an issue. However <u>not</u> on a blanket basis. Generally hedges are 'over maintained' and are losing a lot of their character and wildlife value.
99	Smooth road surfaces for cyclists.
100	Reduction of speed limit around Putley Village Hall. Improvement to the surface of the road – pot holes danger to cyclists and loose gravel.
101	Public transport is also key.
105	No.
106	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A new bridleway from Putley Common to the road at Greytrees & Snowhill cottages, via the brook and crossing the road at Newtons farm could be feasible. 2. Although this is the job of the Highways Authority ie lengthsman scheme, more co-operation is needed by land and property owners to improve access/visibility/drainage. We still have people throwing building and garden waste into drainage ditches.
107	It often feels hazardous to travel the lanes around Putley, there should be some pulling-in places, and if possible encouragement to drive slower!! Perhaps the loos in the Hall should be available for walkers and cyclists.
111, 112	Maintenance of roads, ditches, cutting hedges of utmost importance in our rural areas. <u>Not</u> too many signs. Reduction of heavy transport (ie lorries).
115	Better public transport. Low speed on lanes.
116	I don't want there to be loads of traffic and keep the speed slow.
118	Speed monitors.

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
119	Signs to calm traffic!
121	Traffic needs to be calmed as some drivers go too fast for country roads. Lack of transport means people have to walk to Poolend to catch a bus.
123	No.
124	No.
125	No.
126	a. I would not like big road signs up in our lanes. b. It has to be remembered that “businesses” often require transport of goods – so the size of our lanes have to be part of any “development” equation – or plan.

Q12: Are there any specific locations you think suitable for new employment development? If so, where and why?

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
4	Around farm yards and disused farm yards where there are existing agricultural buildings.
5	NO.
11	On willing farms, near existing sites - Ken Trehernes building, Richard Tooby's, Joe Pardoes, Sladd Fruit Farm etc.
12	Agricultural development.
13	Agriculture and forestry. Maintaining the countryside and woodlands for others.
15	In areas where businesses are already established.
19	This is a complex and multi-faceted issue I do not have sufficient knowledge to offer an opinion.
22	There are none.
26	No.
27	Not applicable.
33	I don't know.
34	Not sure.
36	No.
43	None that I know of.
49	Wherever is required or will benefit most.
52	No.
56	Most locations would be within existing employment structures – of course BREXIT may have an adverse effect on this possibility with future restriction on seasonal labour from Eastern Europe. Food and drink cottage industries may be something for the future.
59	Areas for new development of small business activity would necessarily be around areas of higher population which have better road access from A roads. These might include Putley Green, Birchall, Hatsford, Hampster Baskets, Richard Tooby Tractor Servicing and Sales.
63	Any location that will not damage wildlife habitats or have a detrimental effect on neighbour's houses.
73	No.
85	Village shop in Near Green Close.
90	Permitted development on agricultural holdings.
101	Not aware of any.
105	No.
106	A new bakery in Putley Green, possibly an extension of the Village Hall, and including a shop and tea room, could be a great addition to the local economy and a dynamic centre for the village would provide answers to several questions on facilities for walkers, tourists, cyclists etc!
111, 112	Employment opportunities should be focussed on existing employment areas eg Ledbury/Hereford/Ross.
115	Around the hall and the existing houses to the south and west.

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
117	N/A
121	Barns down by Fawknhams fruit farm.
123	No.
124	No.
125	No.
126	No idea.

Q13: Do you have any other comments on jobs and the local economy? This is your chance to tell us.

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
3	Employment is the key to a thriving community and must be encouraged as much as possible.
5	NO.
11	There should be a link between houses and jobs and engage with local employers to encourage these workers to contribute to this plan as well as residents.
14	I am all for encouraging local enterprise but would be uncomfortable providing any parish funds to private business.
15	Consideration should be given to the environmental impact of new business as well as the impact to traffic volumes and speeds (including extending businesses).
18	More apprenticeships for local and young and older people for studying rural economics – with the chance to further improve entrepreneur business in the area – not going outside or further afield.
22	It is not possible to ‘plan’ economic activity. Putley is what it is and should be protected from forced change by planning.
26	Property built for local workers should be used by locals not swapped.
27	The parish council should be more open to promote services that improve the lives of the local community. The impression that they can give out is deemed negative.
28	I don’t think we can expect Putley to provide much in the way of jobs.
32	Putley is not an acceptable place to grow a business, road infrastructure not good enough for high volume of traffic, can’t reach a big market without extensive travel out of the area.
33	No.
34	No.
36	No.
43	The setting of this village is far from an ideal place to increase jobs, due to its inaccessibility and narrow lanes. The peaceful nature of the environment should be nurtured.
50	There needs to be more in the plan concerning businesses in Putley, supporting them and asking them for their future needs. The plan says ND Plan deals with economy but how does it address it?
52	I feel it is not appropriate to encourage the use of houses for short term holiday letting when new houses are being built. We need to make use of the houses we have for permanent living to avoid having to build too many new houses.
53	Self-employed business – and from home. So available local meeting places, wi-fi, access to accommodation and food essential. Tourist area ready for development.
56	See above comments – jobs are very important to the area but globalisation/red tape etc etc make life very difficult for the small employer.

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
63	<p>We should encourage business that will not bring large amounts of traffic. Encourage businesses that promise to improve wildlife provision e.g plant more native hedges (and manage appropriately), plant trees, create a pond, provide bat/bird boxes etc.</p> <p>We do need more employment in Putley, but it must be small scale so as not to change the face of the Parish.</p> <p>Shooting, paintballing, motorbike scrambling and other business use that impact either through noise or visual should not be allowed.</p>
64	The employment in the area has to cover the cost of living there!
65	Limit access to HGV's via Putley Green.
69	Local community to support farming enterprises and need for diversification.
73	No.
76	Improvement in broadband essential for small businesses. Look into Broadband hub at Village Hall?
77	It is so rural that any new development should not be done in Putley but on the main roads in the area of Poolend, Pixley to stop increase in traffic.
85	Helping small business expand with funding.
88	Little chance of work other than few small businesses and agriculture. Our roads are not suitable for large volume of traffic and heavy goods (small country lanes).
89	Jobs in the local economy will come from existing operations expanding. Many of the existing self-employed entrepreneurs work away.
90	To retain its sense of purpose which provides a platform for the community, Putley needs small scale employment to continue to evolve, especially where it is based on adding value to land based products.
92	<p>Q9 & Q18. Important issues are hugely oversimplified here. I am not prepared to tick boxes for questions 9 or 18 because to give a simple yes/no answer to any of these would be irresponsible. I would not rule out any of them, but nor would I give an unqualified 'yes' to any of them – this could lead to anything.</p> <p>What matters is not the 'field' of business or 'type' of power but the <i>nature</i> of the operation, it's size, purpose, impact on wildlife etc. etc. Far more important than ticking boxes for specific choice of business, energy source or housing type, is to establish criteria against which proposals of any kind will be tested, based on agreed principles.</p> <p>ALSO [extracted from Q19 and repeated here]:</p> <p>Local businesses and local markets: These are difficult times, with pressures from globalisation and big business, an increasingly erratic climate, unpredictable oil prices and markets. We have to choose whether to be absorbed into the increasing mechanisation and globalisation of the world economy, or actively work towards making local markets and local living succeed. The proliferation of small farms and varied land use in Putley provides a good base for adapting to changes in social and economic pressures for those living and working here. This kind of diversity should be retained. We need a flexible approach to developing small scale enterprises, such as workshops, food production, vineyards, allotments, tourism and holiday facilities, smallholdings</p>

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
	<p>(inc. for rent), any of which may be a route to survival for existing landowners as markets and times change.</p> <p>Small scale operations: A commitment to promoting small scale ventures with a local focus can be both the best hope for humans and good for wildlife. Small scale operations tend to be more conducive to wildlife because they favour the maintenance of existing trees and hedgerows, and tend to use less, or lighter, mechanised processes than large scale farming. They include small holdings, artisan enterprises, crafts and light industry. These could provide opportunities for the next generation to establish livelihoods that enrich the community and maintain a good mix of age groups and backgrounds in the local population. Small scale developments, whether in cultivation, business, or housing, plus an emphasis on local markets, tend towards less heavy-duty road use, and minimise commuting, resulting in less traffic and less strain on infrastructure.</p> <p>Tourism: Wildlife tourism is a growth industry, and handled carefully could be a great asset in the future, for Putley residents and outsiders.</p>
93	I suggest you allow for existing businesses to change to meet unforeseen development.
96	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Any business development should be small-scale and in keeping with the local setting. Particular attention should be paid to screening, landscape features and impact on wildlife as well as noise and light pollution impacts generally. - Wherever possible 'travel to work' by car should be minimised. Hence encouragement of live/work facilities, small workshops, rural-related job opportunities. - Small-scale tourism is to be welcomed that is compatible with the local environment and the 'Big Apple' has done a fantastic job at very manageable and low impact (on the environment) levels. I would not wish to see permanent tourism facilities popping up in the landscape. This would be intrusive and have potentially negative impacts for neighbours and the environment.
101	No.
105	No.
107	Homes with workshops etc would encourage new people to work here instead of using Putley as a dormitory.
111, 112	Not applicable to small rural community of Putley.
115	Again, work/live, sustainable use of food and drink and tourism and leisure.
117	N/A
121	People of the community should be offered any jobs that are created due to development within the parish over outsiders.
123	No.
124	No.
125	No.
126	It would be wonderful if there were more local jobs, but ...

Q16: If there are any particular local features, views or habitat areas that you think should be protected, please describe them below.

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
4	Whose to decide what views are important surely its an individual personal beliefs.
6	Marcle Ridge Putley Common area of outstanding natural beauty around church and up and beyond Putley Court.
11	The view of the side of the Cockshoot from Putley – around Hectors house.
12	Mains Wood.
13	Woodlands.
15	The beauty of this area is the open views rolling hills and farming landscape – orchards and grazing fields.
27	Not applicable.
28	<u>ALL.</u>
33	Putley common, and the distant view from this area. Local wooded areas should be managed with a view to wildlife. Give continuing control to ensure we are not losing insect life due to spraying in orchards etc.
34	Putley Common. Local woodland should be protected to ensure wildlife habitats. Control pesticides in orchard spraying to avoid loss of insect life and particularly bees.
36	No.
37	Commons.
42	The best views are across the parish from the edge of the Marcle Ridge towards Ledbury. It would be a shame for this to be seriously disfigured by development.
43	Farm land and orchards. Characterful buildings e.g. Church, Brainge and many more older properties. Views of the hills such as the Marcle Ridge.
47	No.
49	No.
50	Hedgerows need to be maintained for safety of <u>all</u> road users.
57	Can orchards be protected?
59	Major woodland areas such as Mains Wood, Priggles Wood and adjoining woodland, shelter belts around Putley Court, Putley Common grassland.
63	All features and habitats existing are important and should be protected. When planning issues allow for instant removal of trees or section of hedgerow this must be compensated for, ideally more provided than was removed, as it takes years for say a new hedge to become as useful to wildlife as an older established hedge. This is currently not being done in Putley e.g. new driveway for the orchards below woods (west Putley).
64	Marcle Ridge.
65	The view of Putley from Cookshoot/Marcle Ridge.
69	The Common.
73	No.
82	Mains Wood including its SSSI becomes impassable by walkers once the hunt pass through due to churning of large areas of pathways. The hunt should not use paths enjoyed by walkers.

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
87	Views towards May Hill and Malverns.
88	Hedgerows as long as they are cared for, trimmed and do <u>not</u> impact on the highway.
90	Mains/Commanders/"Lady's" Woods Putley Common Remnant traditional orchards Orchard cover on the upper slopes Parkland trees around Putley Court
91	Marcle Ridge.
92	See attached. Q15 should include the option of assessing any development proposal as to whether it is beneficial or detrimental to wildlife <u>as a whole</u> . It is not enough to separate out particular features for protection. ALSO [extracted from Q19 and repeated here]: Natural beauty: What makes Putley a beautiful landscape is also what makes it favourable to wildlife. The range of mixed habitat – small fields, common land, hedgerows, meadows, traditional orchards, pasture, gardens, extensive mixed woodland – we have here is outstanding. It is home to a profusion of birds, animals, insects and flora. Especially unusual is the almost unbroken zone of wildlife-friendly habitat stretching from Redding Farm near Poolend right through to the Cockshoot, where it continues on along the ridge to the west. This zone, comprising traditional orchards, grazing lands, meadow, mixed woodland, common land, scrub pasture, should be valued and protected as an important resource for wildlife. (See attached map).
96	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Wildlife corridors - On a landscape basis eg Putley Common, Mains + Commander's Wood, organic orchards in a line extending to and including Woolhope Dome - Water courses and mature hedgerows eg Corin Brook - Extension of Conservation Area around Putley Court to include Mill area (Putley Mill etc.).
97	All views over farmland, orchards etc have value.
99	From my back garden across the fields to the ridge.
100	Hard not to sound like a Nimby but I only purchased my house due to the view of May Hill.
106	Twerne Wood, Putley Common, owned by absentee owner and managed by Fountains (Forestry). No management recently, so poor habitat under overgrown trees and a wasted resource. Also presents a hazard to neighbouring properties such as Fairview and Lazy Acre.
107	The three oak trees on the Brainge the oaks are joined together. They are in the corner of the Ducjess Orchard and two other fields.
111, 112	Views of May Hill and Marcle Ridge should be unrestricted and open to all.
116	The orchard, field plains.
118	Marcle Ridge.
121	Marcle Ridge. Woodland.
123	No.
125	No.

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
126	a. around the church. b. views towards Marcle Ridge. c. views towards the Malverns.

Q19: Do you have any other comments on the local environment? This is your opportunity to tell us your views on conserving and improving the local environment.

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
1	Heat pumps are usually ripped out after a few years. I do not know of one re-fitted at end of life. They are too complicated – many points of failure and expensive to fix eg National Opera House London!
2	Hydro-electricity, from Hill Farm down to road going to Putley Parish Church would provide enough drop.
3	The key to renewable energy is how, without Government subsidies, efficient they are. For example PVs take around 60 years to pay off their carbon footprint.
4	We need to manage and care for it to ensure its future.
5	NO
11	Anaerobic digesters and biomass units would encourage lorry movements. Putley has a superb wildlife and it needs to be protected not necessarily encouraged. There are some old pastures / meadows that need protecting.
19	Calming measures on the busier roads / lanes in the area.
27	Not applicable.
28	Definitely no wind turbines (farms or solar farms or ADS)
33	New development should be sympathetic to the landscape and the habitats it provides.
34	By ensuring that any new development is sympathetic to the lovely rural landscape habitats.
36	No.
43	It would be hard to improve the local environment, apart from leaving it as it is and controlling traffic size and speed. I would be saddened if the character of Putley were to change.
59	Around Putley is some of the most beautiful wooded landscape that can be seen in the whole country. Further development should be with this as a first regard.
63	Development should be looked upon more favourably if measures are taken to improve and provide more wildlife habitat and use sustainable methods. Those that just take and destroy habitats should not be allowed. Reed bed systems for sewage, water recycling systems etc should be encouraged. Small scale allotments should be allowed. The planning system is one of the few ways that environmental issues in Putley can be made to happen. We have seen too clearly in recent years, that unless made to consider the environment, most do not, especially if it gets in the way or costs money. A comparison of maps or aerial photos from say just 10 years ago will show less hedges and trees (including traditional orchard trees) than now – most hedges are supposed to be legally protected but this does not stop inconvenient ones being quietly removed.
69	I think it's important to monitor wildlife habitats and record information on population numbers.
73	No.
87	Wind turbines affect views.

Putley NDP · Questionnaire comment listings

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
88	Flooding in several places in Putley due to road run off. Draining, ditches unable to cope.
90	Opportunities for collective programs for new energy sources could be explored.
91	Watch levels of water extraction.
92	<p>Q9 & Q18. Important issues are hugely oversimplified here. I am not prepared to tick boxes for questions 9 or 18 because to give a simple yes/no answer to any of these would be irresponsible. I would not rule out any of them, but nor would I give an unqualified 'yes' to any of them – this could lead to anything.</p> <p>What matters if not the 'field' of business or 'type' of power but the <i>nature</i> of the operation, it's size, purpose, impact on wildlife etc. etc. Far more important than ticking boxes for specific choice of business, energy source or housing type, is to establish criteria against which proposals of any kind will be tested, based on agreed principles.</p> <p>[NB: The following section is shown as presented. However, paragraphs of relevance to other questions have been copied to those sections in this report, as indicated below. Such paragraphs have been analysed/reported in the Results Report alongside the other comments to those sections, rather than to Q19].</p> <p>This whole section (Q14-19) is far too anthropocentric. I firmly believe the interests of wildlife and those of human beings should be given equal weight. Not only are we learning day by day that we are more mutually interdependent than we had previously thought, but in Putley especially, with its unusually rich habitat and biodiversity, our interests largely coincide.</p> <p>Natural beauty [also Q16]: What makes Putley a beautiful landscape is also what makes it favourable to wildlife. The range of mixed habitat – small fields, common land, hedgerows, meadows, traditional orchards, pasture, gardens, extensive mixed woodland – we have here is outstanding. It is home to a profusion of birds, animals, insects and flora. Especially unusual is the almost unbroken zone of wildlife-friendly habitat stretching from Redding Farm near Poolend right through to the Cockshoot, where it continues on along the ridge to the west. This zone, comprising traditional orchards, grazing lands, meadow, mixed woodland, common land, scrub pasture, should be valued and protected as an important resource for wildlife. (See attached map).</p> <p>Local businesses and local markets [also Q13]: These are difficult times, with pressures from globalisation and big business, an increasingly erratic climate, unpredictable oil prices and markets. We have to choose whether to be absorbed into the increasing mechanisation and globalisation of the world economy, or actively work towards making local markets and local living succeed. The proliferation of small farms and varied land use in Putley provides a good base for adapting to changes in social and economic pressures for those living and working here. This kind of diversity should be retained. We need a flexible approach to developing small scale enterprises, such as workshops, food production, vineyards, allotments, tourism and holiday facilities, smallholdings</p>

Putley NDP · Questionnaire comment listings

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
	<p>(inc. for rent), any of which may be a route to survival for existing landowners as markets and times change.</p> <p>Small scale operations [also Q13]: A commitment to promoting small scale ventures with a local focus can be both the best hope for humans and good for wildlife. Small scale operations tend to be more conducive to wildlife because they favour the maintenance of existing trees and hedgerows, and tend to use less, or lighter, mechanised processes than large scale farming. They include small holdings, artisan enterprises, crafts and light industry. These could provide opportunities for the next generation to establish livelihoods that enrich the community and maintain a good mix of age groups and backgrounds in the local population. Small scale developments, whether in cultivation, business, or housing, plus an emphasis on local markets, tend towards less heavy-duty road use, and minimise commuting, resulting in less traffic and less strain on infrastructure.</p> <p>Housing [also Q6]: This approach favours modest size, low costs, energy efficient housing and live/work units, aimed at those on low incomes. To keep these within the low income sector, we need houses with two or three bedrooms with the kind of internal size restrictions defined in section 4.8.26 of the Draft Core Strategy (for non MFVs), and subject to restriction on enlargement and removal of permitted development rights. However, as far as possible they should have good sized gardens to allow people to grow their own food and have outdoor space for workshops etc. Gardens are important for children’s health. They also encourage biodiversity more than most kinds of farming. For this reason spacious development is preferable to infill.</p> <p>Tourism [also Q13]: Wildlife tourism is a growth industry, and handled carefully could be a great asset in the future, for Putley residents and outsiders.</p> <p>Criteria: We cannot know now what will be needed in 5 or 10 years time. Rather than ruling specific types of changes in land use in or out, the PNDP needs to devise criteria against which any proposed development can be assessed. This can provide a framework for decision-making that keeps all options open. For instance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Is it likely to be beneficial or detrimental to wildlife in general? - Does it encroach of enlarge//enhance wildlife corridors and sites of particular importance? - Is it likely to strengthen or undermine local markets; local produce for local consumers? - Is it in proportion with existing small scale operations, maintaining the versatility of land use? - Does it improve the options for living/working in low income households?
96	Renewable energy projects should be encouraged but carefully addressed on a case by case basis for their compatability and appropriateness in the wider landscape. This is a specialist area and specialist advice should be sought.

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
100	Environmental issues are vital for future generations to be able to enjoy what we have.
106	There is extensive unmanaged woodland in Putley area e.g. Priggles & Twerne Woods. Thinnings and dead trees, if utilised, are a valuable biomass resource. Managed woodland is healthier for wildlife generally.
107	People should be aware that they are responsible for keeping hedges cut back on roadsides and that drainage ditches are for rain water not grass cuttings .
111, 112	We are worried about over use and heavy use (lorries, horse boxes, trailers) of village road network. Putley should also work with Aylton / Woolhope / Pixley / Rushall as one local rural community.
115	All effort to be made to encourage sustainable development and to encourage “off end” living.
121	The countryside should be preserved so anything environmentally friendly to preserve the surroundings is welcome.
123	No.
124	No.
125	No.
126	We must be careful not to encourage conflicting objectives. I believe we need less expensive housing in the village – for young people to buy. However, if we now impose on any new build the requirement that they be built with very expensive new heating technology – we defeat the objective.
127	‘Affordable’ housing may no longer be affordable if expensive heat pumps are a requirement. Much emphasis should be placed on efficient insulation of new housing.

Q21: Do you think that any of the above need to be improved? Are there any other community services you would like to see provided, if possible? Please tell us how this could be achieved.

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
3	I am not sure if this is correct place to answer but inclusiveness is an issue in the village. Look at a Prancing Pony evening, is there anyone from social housing there?
5	NO.
6	The bus service at present is poor. The post office may as well be nonexistent a mobile post office 2-3 times a week. Mini bus service far more frequently than at present would be more utilisable. 1/2 times a week is not necessarily helpful. Appointments are impossible to attend using public transport.
7	Hall needs new roof, lavatories and lobby storage. Play ground needs modernising. Broadband needs to be 'superfast' and choice of providers.
11	Mobile phone reception should be improved. Parish Hall should be designed to allow for infrequent use as required but easy to maintain and not a constant source of worry to fund.
12	Mobile phone reception.
18	Today Broadband and mobile signals are a vital/essential aspect of living. Local community services are important to a degree to keep Putley united.
19	Phone/broadband reception is not good and this is despite government intentions.
23	Local bus service back to 2 days a week. Putley Green playground needs swings.
24	Bus services should be restored to the standard of 2010 or better. The playground needs more varied equipment especially swings.
26	Broadband and mobile phone reception.
27	The parish council could do a lot more to help the local community via the parish hall. I feel that external agencies could use the hall to offer support services for the community if they hadn't been blocked by parish council refusing to support them.
28	Broadband! Although not too bad. I think we are doing really well on most aspects.
30	Broadband needs improving.
31	Broadband and mobile phone reception.
33	If we are considering some development in Putley, bringing a wider variety of population, families etc. – this may well bring about and opportunity for further development of amenities – more play activity, shop (part time), social, leisure activities.
34	More amenities could be developed with a growing number of young families.
42	I have the impression that the playground is very little used. But I don't know whether this is a criticism of the playground as it stands, or a fact about the potential users (or lack of them).
43	Most of the above need improving, without any further residents arriving, with the possible exception of the Church!
50	Broadband for business.

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
53	All local services need to be accessible and improved. If they don't exist there will be <u>no</u> community. Invest in the community is really important to me.
58	Mobile phone reception, Broadband, PO service, Parish Hall.
63	Would be lovely to see a shop again such as the one at Dragon Orchard (and maybe expanding its range). A bus service to Hereford would be important if it ran properly – more days and gave enough time to shop.
64	Unfortunately all the above could be improved. Local residents need to push this agenda.
65	A recreation field – cricket, football, communal space – opposite social housing development or opposite Graham Blandford's house.
68	Bus to run on Saturday to Ledbury and Tuesday.
69	In an ideal world a village shop would be useful.
74	Local store for milk, papers etc.
76	Encourage local food market at village hall or local produce scheme – e.g. box scheme collection point. More community events e.g. Village fete, get on Arts Alive/Flicks in the Sticks/Borderlines Film Festival circuit.
77	Post Office is so minimal that it is not effective. Broadband 'fibre optic' should go to all houses a.s.a.p.
79	If business/jobs are to be encouraged in the Putley area, broadband reception needs to be very much improved. At present it is highly unreliable with no connection possible much of the time. Mobile phone reception also needs to be improved for business/personal use.
84	Mobile phone reception and Broadband.
85	More local events such as the Prancing Pony.
86	A pop-up café (perhaps during the summer 'tourist'/walking season).
87	Possibly a café run by the Hall or church.
88	Pop up pub – good for community to meet up. Still does not attract all the community. Local bus service?? When? Once each week. To live in Putley one needs own transport or be in a fit and active state to walk some considerable distance. Not easy for older age group or disabled.
90	Opportunity to review Parish Hall – the facility, the layout, the outside area, the car parking. This is a prime land use issue in a prime site.
91	Bus services and postal 'service' may as well not exist. Road services are appalling – have seen better in Costa Rica.
96	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Above all, we need some outdoor community facilities and amenities, in particular, allotments and a community orchard or wood – healthy places for the exchange of ideas/skills etc. - For the community orchard idea there are already local models eg Colwall Community Orchard and support eg Bulmer Foundation is specifically promoting this type of project with traditional orchards. - A model also exists in Fownhope for a community wood – a special, high wildlife value wood was purchased by 8 or so local people who became trustees, including the local parish tree warden.

Putley NDP · Questionnaire comment listings

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
	- Allotments are wonderfully sociable and biodiverse places. There may even be some local benefactors who own suitable land for this purpose.
100	Improvement of playground would encourage younger families to move into the area. Pub and Parish Hall vital for feeling of community.
103	Putley playground is used more for dog walking & exercise than children playing. Would not let any children of mine in there as grass is not picked up.
104	Playground is not used much by children, more people use it for dog walking and to close to OAP bungalows (sic).
106	A post office service, whilst at present not beneficial to the parish as a whole, could be a significant addition to the vision outlined in Q12. Banking services are increasingly provided by the Post Office with the increasing closures of bank branches.
107	Putley Green play area/ground is used less now that there are fewer young children in the village, perhaps it could be made more village friendly – tree planting, seating alternatively, a cycle track for local kids.
111, 112	Church should be more of a community facility, open to all and not used purely for religious services. It should be used for village events <u>in conjunction</u> with village hall, which sadly dominates village life.
115	Fast broadband would be most helpful.
121	Local bus service as people have to walk to pool end to catch a bus which isn't practical for old people.
123	That the children at Putley Green have a good playground or better facilities. Somewhere that they could play football.
125	Broadband for all and better mobile phone reception.
126	a. the Parish Hall needs a new roof. b. the playground might be better used if the facilities were upgraded.
127	Parish Hall needs a new roof, more storage facilities and new entrance. These could be achieved through grants and/or donations from local businesses eg Westons, Chase.

In this questionnaire we have tried to cover what we think are the main issues affecting the future of Putley. If we have missed anything you think should be included, please tell us below. Remember, the ND Plan will be in place for the next 15 years, so it is important we make sure that everything is covered.

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
3	I would like to emphasise the need for local businesses to be given as much support as possible.
6	As one becomes older the village becomes more and more user unfriendly thus necessitating moves into town.
11	There are 'stakeholders' in the parish not included in this survey – they are the people who work in the Parish – many are collected from Ledbury / Hereford or drive in from elsewhere. I think they should have the opportunity to complete this form. Richard Toobys staff, Joe Pardoes staff, Louise Daleys groom Stephen Fortnam.
22	There is only one issue that actually affects Putley's plan status – and it is not mentioned in this exercise. The village is incorrectly identified as a focus village. This should be changed back to agricultural which relieves the housing questions all of which suggest an assumption that should be stated at the outset.
26	Due to the acceleration of change within agriculture it is important to recognise that changes to farms and their appearance will occur. It is important to support the agricultural economy within Putley to ensure employment and maintenance of the environment for future generations.
27	I feel it is important to support change within the local community especially with our farming community to help both traditions and employment.
33	We are a village that is quite close and accessible to quality retail, leisure and tourist spots, motorways, we are not remote. So further development, limited and sympathetic should be considered.
34	Putley is not remote from leisure/work and other amenities – so some moderate housing development should not be a problem.
50	Not detailed enough or representative enough of businesses and farming in Putley. Suggest more business people etc on the committee please :-)
54	More bridleways open for horses!
55	The guidance notes to this survey say the development of the NP includes giving consideration to "the economy" in Putley. Should local businesses and farmers not be further consulted to ensure proper representation?
68	Stop drug user congregated in areas where no lighting – they need policing after 11pm to stop this.
86	In addition to Putley Green playground the provision of further public community space might be considered eg community garden or orchard.
90	Bullet point 3 refers to a place where the next generation would want to raise their children. There are parents of young families who were themselves born and raised in Putley but cannot come back here now. These individuals remain part of the community of Putley but have been forced to live elsewhere. The make up of the population is getting out of balance.
95	Tourism is important to this wonderful village. Don't be short-sighted.

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
101	Really appreciate the opportunity to comment and thank you to those who've taken to time and effort to co-ordinate this – an excellent job!
106	<p>We should be reminded of the recent history of the bungalow called Lugano – now demolished – which stood on the Kynaston road to the south of the village. It was replaced about 5 years ago by a new house “Greytree” built on an elevated site by the Groves family.</p> <p>The bungalow was subject to repeated flooding , probably 5 yearly, which led to the last occupants, Mr and Mrs Crawshaw having to sell up due to old age and health problems. The subsequent owner failed to correct the drainage and was forced to sell following financial problems.</p> <p>The flooding was caused by poor maintenance of the brook downstream of the bungalow and neglect of drainage at Aylhill Putley. These faults have never been resolved and so a considerable area of land in the area is subject to unnecessary flooding and is now derelict. The Lengthsman scheme operators at the time tried to take actions through the Hereford Council drainage officer but without success.</p> <p>The lesson to be drawn from these events is that our County Councillors give very little weight to infrastructure problems, especially drainage, and Landowners will take the easy way out!!</p>
113	I am worried that planners have no longer any sympathy with this lovely area of Herefordshire and are allowing development on open agricultural land etc regardless of the wildlife (crested newts, slowworms, dormice, lots of various bats and birds) and appearance of buildings after renovation, which in this case be of corrugated steel, and totally out of keeping with other buildings in this village, and will turn Putley into resemblance of a shanty town.
116	I don't really want too many houses but a couple of big family houses in unused space is fine.
126	I think the questionnaire is very good.